

# Science and the Bible E-Zine

The Science and the Bible E-Zine Volume 2, Number 3

---

Publisher: Max B. Frederick, AnOldScientist  
Motto: The Simple Truth  
Date: March 31, 2009  
Issue: Volume 2, Number 3  
Home Pages: <http://www.AnOldScientist.com>  
<http://www.ScienceAndTheBible.net>  
<http://www.EyewitnessToTheOrigins.com>

Circulation: The subscriber list is growing. Circulation grows by readers passing it on. If you are not a subscriber, to get another issue, you must put your name and email on the list by sending an email to: [signup@anoldscientist.com](mailto:signup@anoldscientist.com).

Be sure to put your name on the subject line.

---

"Truth: That which is in accord with fact and reality."

This is written so that you may believe the bible because of science rather than in spite of science.

---

## What's in This Issue:

- 1) Welcome to The Science and the Bible E-Zine.
  - 2) Question of the Month.
  - 3) The Mystery of the Chambers of the South.
  - 4) A Fresh Look at Biblical Creation.
  - 5) Feedback From Readers.
  - 6) Steal This E-zine.
  - 7) Reprint Rights.
  - 8) Sign up for the E-zine.
- 

## What's in Next or Coming Issues:

Big Bang, Product of God or Imagination of Science? Read articles as they become available.

[www.scienceandthebible.net/articles/index.htm](http://www.scienceandthebible.net/articles/index.htm).

---

## 1) Welcome to The Science and the Bible E-Zine.

The Science and the Bible E-Zine is emailed to subscribers. If you have not subscribed, someone might have thought you would be interested. Please feel free to forward it to others. But please be careful to send it only to those who may be interested. Also, if you have not personally done so, please sign up for future issues. (Go to the end of this issue for directions.)

---

**2) Question of the Month.**

The question of the month is:

Does the bible mention the Big Bang in the context of the origin of the universe?  
If so, does the bible indicate it is of God, or of the Devil?

I see billboards along the freeway that tend to give me the idea that some people believe that either you believe in the bible or you believe in the Big Bang, but not both.

What do you think, and what do you think other people believe or have been taught?  
What is the general public being taught in your circle of friends or region of the country?

I am putting off publishing details on this subject until I get some response of where the readers of this Ezine are coming from.

Give me your ideas and suggestions at  
<http://www.eyewitnesstotheorigins.blogspot.com/>

---

**3) The Mystery of the Chambers of the South.**

## **The Mystery of the Chambers of the South**

by

**Max B. Frederick, AnOldScientist**

There are many mysteries within the pages of the bible. They are not mysteries that are out of touch with reality. Rather, they are beyond the understanding of the average theologian. The reason? Because most theologians are not aware of the depth of understanding of physical science of the ultimate source of the ancient scriptures. No! God's favorite subject is not, love, compassion, justice, mercy, forgiveness...or any of the myriads of topics typically associated with religion. It is physical science—that endeavor of God that resulted in the creation of a creature to be a companion of God, the future bride of God. The reason most of these mysteries of science recorded in the bible are not understood by theologians is the fact that most of them have been translated into English language in the form of what This Old Scientist calls, theobabble. Theobabble is religious sounding nonsensical gobbly-d-gook that results from an awkward translation of science that is not recognized as such by the translators.

In the January, 2009 issue of this electronic magazine, we discovered the heretofore unrealized history of the origin of the Elizabethan form of literature known as the Blason, a song or poem written in praise of one's beloved that is organized into an orderly list of physical features of the beloved. In fact, that history of the origin of the Blason was pushed back in time to six times as long ago as the original assumed origin. It was positively linked to the 104<sup>th</sup> psalm when it is recognized that that psalm is in fact an ordered list of physical science attributed to the beloved God of

King David. That history is linked directly through King David's son Solomon through the English translations of the bible first becoming available to the Elizabethan authors at exactly the time of the assumed origin of that form of literature. It was discovered that the 104<sup>th</sup> Psalm is in fact a list of events and physical science principles that is in chronological order of events concerning the origins of the physical universe, life, and ecology we find around us today.

This month's discovery is related to that ordered list of creation events found in the 104<sup>th</sup> Psalm. This month's discovery is in fact, further evidence of that 104<sup>th</sup> Psalm being a valid chronology.

After the expansion of the universe mentioned in second half of verse 2 and before the construction of the mantle that is later to become the foundation for the continents in verse 5, there are at least two events that are a great mystery to readers of that Psalm, as well as to all the great theologians. That is until now... One of those mysteries is being revealed in this article.

Written many years earlier, and theologians are still unaware of the actual date of the original writing of the Book of Job, there is a mention of the "Chambers of the South" in a direct conversation between God and Job. It is the recorded actual words of God that are translated into the English phrase, "chambers of the South."

The mystery is, just what that phrase refers to. That phrase is in the context of things seen in the night sky: Constellations, Stars, and "chambers of the South." When this Old Scientist was a young man in bible college, he was told that no theologian really knows what the phrase, "chambers of the south" refers to. And so it remained not understood for nearly another fifty more years.

One day, as I was looking at the night sky as displayed on my computer by a program that came with my telescope, the mystery became no longer a mystery. It is obvious what those "chambers of the South" are. The key to understanding is the vantage point of the author. He was in the northern hemisphere of the planet earth. When the night skies are viewed from the northern hemisphere of the planet earth, there are three categories of objects seen in the night skies: The stars, the constellations, and the planets. When God said Aristarchus, Orion, and the chambers of the South, he listed those three categories of night sky objects. From the northern hemisphere of the planet earth, the planets are in the southern sky. Apparently, the word used in the ancient scripture for what we call planets is the word from which the English translation rendered "chambers."

So now, how do we get verification of the validity of that discovery? We look at another mention of those "chambers" in the sky.

That mention is found in Psalms 104, in one of those mysterious theobabble verses after the early expansion of the universe and before the finishing touches were put on the planet earth. That verse says, (in King James theobabble,) "Who layeth the beams of his chambers in the waters."

Obvious in the original language of this scripture is the fact that the expression rendered, "layeth the beams," in King James English, means "constructed" in our language.

If this Psalm is in fact an ordered list of origin events, this is the point a scientist would expect to see something about the construction of the planets of the universe. And that is exactly what this discovery reveals.

In addition, It adds another aspect, another detail of the construction of the planets of the solar system.

Further verification of the reality of this discovery is the fact that modern science, within the past approximately twenty-five years, has discovered the fact that planets tend to form in water rich regions of the universe. For more detail and references, see the book, *Eyewitness to the Origins*, found at [www.ScienceAndTheBible.net](http://www.ScienceAndTheBible.net)

Therefore, that further verification of both the validity of this interpretation, and the validity of the claim that Psalm 104 is in fact a chronological ordered list of origin events, is the fact that not only is this the point in time that the planets actually came into existence, but the principle of physics that planets tend to develop in water rich parts of the universe.

How did the writer of this Psalm, over three thousand years ago, know that there was in fact any such thing as water in outer space? Could it be that he understood perfectly the description of that as being the source of the water of our oceans as described in the first chapter of Genesis? And that is another thing that modern theologians have completely overlooked, the fact that the first chapter of Genesis actually describes the mechanism, source, and chronology of the actual filling of the oceans of the earth.

This article is condensed to fit in this Ezine from the book, *Eyewitness to the Origins*, found at [www.ScienceAndTheBible.net](http://www.ScienceAndTheBible.net)

---

---

#### 4) A Fresh Look at Biblical Creation.

## A Fresh Look at Biblical Creation

by

**Max B. Frederick, AnOldScientist**

*Believe the bible because of science not in spite of science...A fresh new look at science in scripture, overlooked by theologians, re-discovered by scientists.*

A fresh look<sup>1</sup> at the details of creation recorded in the ancient scripture reveals a surprisingly different picture of what the bible really says concerning the origins, about the details of creation. Comparing what the bible really says to what science has independently discovered reveals amazing agreement between science and the

---

<sup>1</sup> This fresh look is not a re-interpretation to make the bible look good. Rather it is a rejection of the traditions built up around human interpretation of what scholars think the bible should have said, similar to the rejection of traditions of the Scribes and Pharisees during the time of Christ.

bible in those details first published in the bible, long unrecognized by theologians, and recently re-discovered by modern science..

Traditionally, Christians have a tendency toward criticizing certain details of the origins just because we become aware of them through the discoveries of modern science. This is embarrassing because many of those details were first published in the bible and we should know better.<sup>2</sup>

There are many accounts of creation in the bible. There are nearly three-dozen major ones. At least fifteen give significant information concerning the time-line of creation related events.

When all the creation accounts of the ancient scripture are compared, an amazingly clear picture emerges. They can all be interleaved into a comprehensive account, a comprehensive time-line that is internally consistent. All of the biblical accounts of creation agree as to the chronology of creation events. And modern science is independently re-discovering the reality of that time line first published in the bible.

Except for one problem.

One of those many biblical accounts has two conflicting sets of chronology. In that one account there is an underlying chronology that is in accord with all the rest of the biblical creation accounts. But there is also a second, contradictory chronology overlain upon that account to illustrate an unrelated point<sup>3</sup>. None of the other accounts of creation found in the bible have this contradictory chronology superimposed.

When the underlying chronology of that one account is brought to the front, then the timeline in all biblical accounts of the origins, without exception, are in complete internal agreement.

The irony is, that popular secondary chronology superimposed to illustrate an unrelated point, and elevated above the consistent timeline information found in all the other biblical creation accounts, has given rise to what academia has dubbed the "Judeo-Christian Creation Myth." And that superimposed secondary chronology has blinded theologians for thousands of years to the existence of the many other creation accounts found in the bible. None of the others even mention this secondary, six-day schedule of creation. And without it, theologians tend to not recognize the other creation accounts to be creation accounts.

---

<sup>2</sup> Similarly, like the Christian resistance to anything science, science has a resistance to anything religious.

<sup>3</sup> Similar to a parable illustrating an unrelated point. That unrelated point is the importance of the fourth of The Ten Commandments concerning the six days of labor and the seventh day of rest. But that is a long and complicated story.

<sup>4</sup> For a glimpse of what is contained in the book, *Eyewitness to the Origins*, see the free article, *The Creation Accounts of Moses*.

<http://www.scienceandthebible.net/articles/TheCreationAccountsOfMoses.pdf>

The irony is, that conflicting secondary chronology is traditionally elevated above the consistent timeline information found in all the other biblical creation accounts. This has given rise to what academia has dubbed the “Judeo-Christian Creation Myth.” And that superimposed secondary chronology has blinded theologians for thousands of years to the existence of the many other creation accounts found in the bible. None of the others even mention this secondary, six-day schedule of creation. And without it, theologians tend to not recognize the other creation accounts to even be creation accounts.

### **Pandora’s Box**

This fresh new look at science pre-recorded in the ancient scripture opens a Pandora’s box of sticky questions.

Is this ancient pre-recording of future discoveries of modern science really real?

If so, what was God’s purpose in pre-recording this significant information, then keeping theologians eyes blinded to it until now?

Why is it being revealed now?

Is it really scientific evidence of the supernatural origin of the bible we now have?

How did this happen?

Was the six day schedule superimposed on the underlying chronology for the purpose of blinding the eyes of theologians until after modern science discovered what the bible really says is in fact true?

Was the recognition of reality in what was recorded in the bible supernaturally delayed to allow modern science, as a hostile witness, to eventually independently make discoveries that confirm the bible to be true?

What else has been discovered about what we know and how we know it concerning ancient knowledge of the origins?

What does the combined chronology reveal?

How did ancient myths arise? Did the knowledge recorded in the ancient scripture originate with ancient myth of previous religions as many secular scholars claim?

How did it come to be that ancient myth is so riddled with non-reality while ancient scripture is so in accord with reality? How can it be that the knowledge is recorded so accurately in ancient scripture and so degraded in ancient myth? Was ancient myth “corrected” by some supernatural force to become scripture? Or, was the truth revealed to humanity by some supernatural force then myth arise from the degradation by the imagination of humanity until it comes to us as unreliable myth?

How do people set in their belief that the bible is only a collection of non-reality myths come to terms with the impossible accuracy of the factual information that is actually recorded in the bible, and the fact that it was recorded before it was discovered by modern science?

The list goes on...

The sorting out of the science in the ancient scripture has been a lifetime of bible study and research by an old scientist, the author of this article. With the publication of the results of his independent research at the age of seventy years in the year 2008, in his book, *Eyewitness to the Origins*,<sup>4</sup> that old scientist began publication of an electronic magazine addressing these myriad of questions.

These questions and more are currently being addressed by that same old scientist. The publication of articles and future publication depends on your interest and participation and the physical ability of the aging scientist. Join the discussion before the old scientist goes the way of all flesh and it is too late.

Subscribe to the on line magazine (E-Zine). Buy the book. Join the discussion. Become involved before the old scientist gets too old.

If you order the book directly from the old scientist, as long as he is able, he will sign it and pay the shipping and handling to anywhere in the United states.

Right now the only way to do that is to order it by mail. He hopes to eventually get a way set up to order it on line through this web site.

[www.EyewitnessToTheOrigins.com](http://www.EyewitnessToTheOrigins.com)

[www.AnOldScientist.com](http://www.AnOldScientist.com)

[www.ScienceAndTheBible.net](http://www.ScienceAndTheBible.net)

[www.EyewitnessToTheOrigins.blogspot.com](http://www.EyewitnessToTheOrigins.blogspot.com)

---

---

#### **5) Feedback From Readers .**

The March, 2009 issue of The Christian Journal contains an article by the writer of this Ezine. <http://en.calameo.com/read/00001048554262fef8c92> It is found on page 6 and is entitled, *Authority Of The Bible Validated By Science*. The date published in the article of the discovery by modern science is about 1800 when it should be about 1900. Otherwise any comments on the article are welcome. Send comments to: [SimpleTruth@AnOldScientist.com](mailto:SimpleTruth@AnOldScientist.com).

---

---

#### **6) Steal This E-zine.**

This E-zine is free, you may take it and pass it on to others. However, this E-zine is copyright Max B. Frederick, 2008, 2009. Therefore, with my permission I encourage you to email this E-zine to any friends of yours who might be interested in Science and the Bible. I only ask that you email the whole thing, not bits and pieces.

Otherwise, you'll be getting desperate calls at midnight from your friends asking where they can get their own free subscription. Here is the place to subscribe:

<http://www.ScienceAndTheBible.net>

---

---

#### **7) Reprint Rights.**

Permission is granted to use any of the articles in this e-zine in your own e-zine or web site, as long as you include the following blurb: Retired Scientist, Theologian and Author, Max B. Frederick, AnOldScientist, publishes the FREE Science and the Bible E-zine, every month. Visit <http://www.ScienceAndTheBible.net> For more articles like this. Also download your free Special Report on “Thirty-four Creation Accounts Found in the Bible.”

---

---

### **8) Sign up for the E-zine.**

In the future you should be on this list only if you signed up for it. As soon as I get it automated, there will be a place to sign up for the e-zine on my web site at <http://www.ScienceAndTheBible.net>

Right now there is not an automated way to sign up. So for now, to sign up, and get future issues, you must put your name and email on the list by sending an email to [signup@anoldscientist.com](mailto:signup@anoldscientist.com). Be sure to put your name on the subject line.

If you miss an issue, I plan to archive all back issues on my web site at: <http://www.ScienceAndTheBible.net/ezine>

---

---

*Max B. Frederick*

© 2009, Max B. Frederick, an Old Scientist, Publisher, Science and the Bible E-Zine

---