

Science and the Bible E-Zine

The Science and the Bible E-Zine Volume 4, Number 9

Publisher: Max B. Frederick, AnOldScientist
Motto: The Simple Truth
Date: September 30, 2011
Issue: Volume 4, Number 9
Home Pages: <http://www.AnOldScientist.com>
<http://www.ScienceAndTheBible.net>
<http://www.EyewitnessToTheOrigins.com>

Circulation: The subscriber list is growing. Circulation grows by readers passing it on. If you are not a subscriber, to get another issue, you must put your name and email on the list by sending an email to: signup@anoldscientist.com.

Be sure to put your name on the subject line.

"Truth: That which is in accord with fact and reality."

This is written so that you may believe the bible because of science rather than in spite of science.

What's in This Issue:

- 1) What's Happening at Science and the Bible?
 - 2) Autobiography—AnOldScientist
 - 3) Reprint Rights.
 - 4) Sign up for this E-zine.
-

1) What's Happening at Science and the Bible?

By Max B. Frederick, AnOldScientist

This publication is now in its fourth year. Frequently I have been asked about who is writing this stuff. Who am I? Where am I coming from? What is my slant? What is my background?

So, this month it seems appropriate to try to answer that question. This month's article is a collection of things that have been written about the author, sewn together by comments from the author himself. This article is a collection of writings from sources written over the years. Some of it came from my many merit reviews by my employer over the thirty years I worked for the same company, and comments others have made about me. You will notice changes back and fourth between the writing being in the first person and third person—I left it that way so you would recognize the reason it occasionally seems to be disjointed.

Please understand, presenting what to me seems to be the simple truth requires a departure from both the traditional interpretation of the bible by theologians and from

the politically correct philosophy that is rampant in the scientific community. My work is not a re-interpretation of the bible to make it agree with science. Nor is it a re-interpretation of science to make it agree with the bible. It is the simple truth, from the point of view that is not fearful of challenging erroneous interpretation whatever the source. And that puts me in danger of being burned at the stake by a coalition of both scientists and theologians.

2) Autobiography—AnOldScientist

The Background of Max B. Frederick as Related to Science and the Bible

By Max B. Frederick, And Others

The bottom line is, the understanding of the complex is just not that complex. It is simply that some people understand it and others do not—Why that is so is another question... The science that would answer that question would determine the future course of my later life. It is the little known Art and Science of Information Recognition, including extraction or exploitation of that recognized information.

It seems that Max B. Frederick may have been born to be a scientist... and a theologian.

It may seem like science and theology are a strange combination, but in Max, it is the predictable result of a natural progression.

Early Childhood and Growing Up:

His favorite concept from the beginning has always been, “Why?”

I was only about five years old when Dad got me an electric train for Christmas. It quit working. Dad and his brother Alfred could not get it to work. They laid it aside and let me touch it. Within a few minutes it was working again. I had figured out why it did not work. I reset a jumper which had fallen from its proper position. I, a five-year old, had done something two smart grown-ups could not do. It was an inspiring experience. Before long I had an old pinball machine display working by combining parts of the electric train and the pinball display. That was about 1942 or 1943, during World War II.

During WWII, occasionally the air raid warning sirens would sound and we would have to go into the basement and stay until the all clear was sounded. Mom wanted to do some ironing while we were there so she stored the clothes and the iron in the basement. But the extension cord was not working. So I fixed it. The next time the sirens sounded we went into the basement. Mom plugged her iron in and sparks flew everywhere and all the lights went out as the fuse blew. We sat there in the dark for what seemed to be hours. For many years after, when I repaired a power cord end, I always tested it expecting sparks to fly.

Sometime before moving to Oregon in 1944, I learned another lesson. We, my little brother and I, had some small toy cars. We would use the garden hoe to make roads for the toy cars in the dirt driveway. One day I found that if I pushed the hoe, rather than pulling it, the road was smoother. But I also learned that if you push the hoe in front of you as you walk forward, and the hoe strikes something that makes it stop, it hurts when the handle jabs itself into your belly. So, at my dad's prompting, I experimented with holding the handle at my side rather than directly in front. That experiment was a success.

At the age of six years, in 1944, The kid that eventually became AnOldScientist,



moved to Sams Valley when the family bought the old Tresham Place a half mile south of the old Sams Valley School house where he attended school for nine years. The school only had eight grades, but he repeated the last half of the third grade and the first half of the fourth grade. The farm had no electricity nor running water—but it did have a telephone. The number was 450 and it was one of twenty phones on a party line. The Old Scientist still has that phone and it is still operative and in use in his house in Central Point. As the years went by, they added electricity, running water, and an indoor bathroom. The Old scientist first learned the principles of electric wiring as they wired the old home and barn. He remembers being small enough to reach inside the wall through the small hole where the wires came for the

electric plugs. That was to fish for the wire as his dad sent it down from the attic above.

During the early years of grade school, learning was difficult for me. While in the third and fourth grade, I spent countless hours staring at the cracks in the ceiling plaster. I could follow any number of cracks, like following mountain roads, from one side of the room to the back, or to any other side.

It is a good thing modern psychiatric medicine had not advanced to the stage it is today, otherwise, this fixation would have had me diagnosed with autism or attention deficit disorder and I would have been labeled as developmentally disabled for the rest of my life. Instead, in later life, this talent was put to good use to make great sums of money for both me and for the company I worked for. As it turned out, the ability to concentrate on significant details became a talent rather than a handicap. It was said of me that I could see information where others could see only random data. And that information I could see turned out to be real and paid off big time.

Anyway, as a result of this fixation and attention deficit behavior, I was demoted from the middle of the fourth grade back to the third grade. I did not have to change rooms. I did not even have to change desks. In that school, there were three grades in the same room, and the third grade took up one and a half rows and fourth grade took up one and a half rows. To demote me, they just changed the boundary line between the third grade and the fourth grade. I was in the middle seat.

I owe a debt of gratitude to another student. He sat behind me and copied my work. That spurred me on to concentrate on my school work. I had to get the answers so he could copy them.

My grade school teachers recognized something in me. I was allowed to go to the science room and perform experiments during class time when other students were learning the regular curriculum. One time we had set up the gymnasium to have a school dance. The school had an electric phonograph to play records—a step up from the old wind up Victrola. But, back then, microphones were not something that was readily available. When I connected a “tin can telephone” to the phonograph needle using a string, sound traveled down the string and caused the needle to vibrate, and the voice was then heard through the loud speaker of the phonograph. They were impressed.

As the years went by, Dad was there to answer the why questioning. It was most important to know why something worked. If you know why, you could fix anything even if you could not restore it to original. Haywire and tin cans became staple parts for fixing the car—and it worked.

In 1953, his parents made the down payment on a farm in Central Point where The Old Scientist and his siblings would be closer to Crater High School where they all attended and graduated. The family made an agreement that the parents would make the down payment and the sons would make the payments milking cows and selling the cream to the Rogue Creamery. That agreement was backed up by the title to the property including The Old Scientist and his siblings by referring to them as “heirs” as they were minors at the time and were told that as minors they could not have their names included on the title. The Old Scientist and his siblings still own that farm on Table Rock Road in Central Point. And the Old Scientist still has that old hand crank cream separator as a decoration in his home in downtown Central Point where the students of Crater High school walk past his house going back and forth to town during the lunch hour.



When I was in high school, my favorite subject was, of course, science. I had read all my older sister’s chemistry and physics books before my class even started.

Again, I was given time off to go down town to the bank to set up a demonstration of a sensor which would “see” someone getting close without even touching the bank vault—we did not have motion sensor door openers in those days. It was the technology of the future.

So, you see, Science was my life from an early age.

But so was theology.

From an early age, I could see no conflict between reality, whether it be in the realm of science, or in the realm of theology—if I did not get hung up on the stuff people make up to make their erroneous point of view look good. That is the trick. Separate the facts from the interpretation and opinion. It is the interpretation and opinion that gets both science and theology in trouble.

I remember when I was barely tall enough to be at eye level with the door latch. With my right eye behind the strike plate, I could see what was on the other side of the door knob. I could see through solid objects—or so I thought at the time—I must be like that Jesus I had heard about at Sunday School. Of course, the left eye was doing the seeing. It was not obscured by the door knob. But the figuring out why I could see through it was an inspiring experience.

I was been brought up in church and Sunday school every Sunday.

In high school, the science teacher took the class to see the Moody Bible Institute's "Sermons from Science." It was a series of evangelical sermons illustrated by several examples of scientific "facts" from the bible.

From early childhood I held to the philosophy of my father that a day is not wasted if you learned something new. You should learn something new every day. During my childhood years I cannot remember ever staying home from school whenever humanly possible to attend. My family put a high value on learning. Five days a week I was in public school and every Sunday, I was in Sunday school. On Saturday, I remembers my dad teaching me at least one new thing every day. After graduating from high school, I attended at least seven colleges or universities over a period of thirteen years. During that era I was either attending college full time while working part time, serving my country in the United States Army, or working full time as a Scientist, all the time studying subjects of interest.

My Higher Education and Development of My Philosophy:

The philosophy of science and religion became a large part of my life. The philosophy of science is quite akin to religion—opinions run rampant, sometimes reality seems even to be irrelevant. That is quite a problem.

When I went to college, I was hit with a philosophical challenge. In science class there, I was told that what I learned in high school science was just a simplistic explanation of what was a much more complex reality. It was not that simple...

But, in the meantime, I had used the why's that I had learned, that the instructor called simplistic, to make things work that other people could not. Something was amiss with someone's philosophy.

In bible college I was told that the modern science explanation for things outside the realm of science is not to be trusted. It is simply interpretations and opinions made up by people who have an axe to grind. Either they are searching for an explanation that supports what they already believe, or they are succumbing to peer pressure to advance their career.

But I also observed the same thing among their accusers, the theologians—they make up answers that go beyond what the bible actually says. Theologians too are subject

to peer pressure.

In the process, all explanations are made more complex than reality.

The bottom line is, the understanding of the complex is just not that complex. It is simply that some people understand it and others do not—Why that is so is another question... The science that would answer that question would determine the future course of my later life. It is the little known Art and Science of Information Recognition, including extraction or exploitation of that recognized information.

After a few terms of both secular college and bible college, I enlisted in the United States Army Security Agency during the Viet Nam Era.

Later in my life, an interesting observation was made:

Some people have brains that fall outside the range of what our society and the medical profession considers to be “normal” and these people are labeled with various diagnoses representing statistical departure from the norm. While some of them diagnosed in this way might be considered to have their thinker broken, Not all of them are broken, just different. Some are gifted in various ways different than the general public. The following is an example:

Mr. Frederick is a slow thinker. But he is also a deep thinker. Upon entering into the U. S. Army, into a school within his favorite field of science, the entrance exam was a challenge. Among all the other students he was the last to finish. As the time ticked on after everyone else had finished, the instructor and the other students were considering whether he had been accepted into the class as a mistake. But then the tests were scored and he got the highest score. He also finished the top in his class. This was typical of Mr. Frederick, and he gained the reputation of being a deep thinker. It was said of him that his brain ground slow, but it ground fine. With that specialty, he went into the field of information recognition and exploitation, a field of deep thinking. He capitalized on it, becoming a highly compensated employee, even though he could not give an effective briefing on his own work. Typically Mr. Frederick attended briefings on his work presented by another person, then after the presentation, Mr. Frederick would handle question and answer session. The customers came to the habit of asking for Mr. Frederick because they knew his answers could be relied upon.

To summarize my formal education:

I started the first grade at Sams Valley in 1944, graduated from Sams Valley Grade School in 1953, Graduated from Crater High School in 1957, and went on to college for 13 of the next 15 years. During that time I disappeared into the military industrial complex and went from being taught by PhD's to teaching PhD's. Those college years were a series of switching back and forth between bible colleges and science departments of secular universities until finally, in 1972—after thirteen years—my bachelors degree was awarded by a state university with a major in geology, and an unofficial minor in theology.

My schooling was a series of a repeating pattern. Slow start with not the top grades—improving grades until graduation from grade school—starting again with

not so good grades in high school, being inducted into a national honor society in my junior year and working up to eighth in my graduating class—starting again in college with average grades and working up to straight A's and being inducted into some three Greek letter honor society in my junior year, and finally graduating with a degree different than everyone else. Being different has always been my trademark.

Career Years:

For thirty-three years, starting long before completing any college degree, I disappeared into the Military Industrial complex where you cannot talk about your advances in science—where no public publication is allowed. Even there, advances in science and technology continued unabated although unpublished. My combination of Geology and Theology were a contrarian view in the fields of Electronics, Intelligence, and Information Technology—I recognized “why” where others only recognized “what”. It became my trademark in the industry. It was said of me, “He sees information where others see only data.” I spent over thirty years advancing the Art and Science of Information Recognition. The secret is the ability to imagine a different reality than that recognized by the masses of scientists—and then to test it for validity.

During that time, my most effective contributions were made when I worked on a team made up of at least three different specialties—an engineer, a mathematician, and an information recognition scientist—and I was the information recognition scientist. You see, people can visualize reality in different ways. Some people put their confidence in a mathematical model of reality. But I have seen too many math models that did not accurately represent reality—in spite of the fact that they were mathematically correct. Some people excel in the engineer approach—apply the existing, politically correct, approved rules—keep your thinking inside the box. But the imaginative approach to reality—thinking outside the box—is required to recognize information where the other two disciplines see only data. And the added value of the three discipline team is to keep a check on each of the disciplines acting alone. Our motto was, if it did not ring true in all three disciplines, we had to work on it some more, sometimes even to advance the state of the art until it did—and the result was many advances in the state of the art in both the old established fields and in the new field of information recognition and exploitation. We were able to make correct predictions of future outcomes unpredictable by other teams. And that was our reason for existence.

Mr. Frederick retired at the end of November in 1994 after working for the same employer for over thirty years. During the last three of those years, Mr. Frederick worked on commercial projects where his efforts resulted in his being the named inventor of three United States Patents that were granted in the field of radio fingerprinting.

Retirement Years:

Early in his retirement, Mr. Frederick did done private research in the field of geology. His research resulted in the publication in 1996 of *The Lithologic Cycle*, introducing a cyclical mechanism responsible for the movement of the continents on this earth. That cycle includes a different view of the mechanisms for gathering

together of the rock material that is floating on the mantle below because it is less dense, causing it to rise from below sea level to form continents, then also responsible for the later movements breaking those continents apart. After this research and publication, Mr. Frederick discovered that same emerging of the continents to first be above sea level is uniquely described in several places in the ancient scriptures of the bible. Reaction to the book among other scientists and theologians has prompted Mr. Frederick to research other new discoveries of science and their amazing correlation with concepts and descriptions presented in ancient literature, indicating a unique insight and understanding of earth science by the ancient authors. Mr. Frederick's earlier dual education in science and theology has given him uncommon qualification in this area.

In his retirement, Mr. Frederick has also educated himself in a completely new field of study, researching and inventing a method for utilizing a new form of energy for the task of cleaning laundry. The prior art of laundry cleaning utilized two forms of non-polluting energy and one of polluting energy. The non-polluting forms of energy are kinetic and thermal—you know, scrubbing and hot water. The polluting form of energy is the addition of chemical energy to the other two—you know, putting soap or detergent in the water. This invention adds electrical energy to the process of cleaning laundry. Electrical energy is a third form of non-polluting energy. It reduces the dependence on chemical energy, the only environmental polluting form of energy currently in use for cleaning laundry. For this inventing effort he has been granted two additional United States Patents.

The accomplishments of The Old Scientist in his first fifty some years pale into insignificance in the light of his current project. Any educational degrees, advances in technology and science, any publications, or patents, as a result of his ingenuity mean nothing compared to the significance of the book, *Eyewitness to the Origins*, written later in his retirement.

The incredible challenge of combining all the creation accounts in the bible into one cohesive account seems daunting to anyone who cannot think outside the box.

Yet that is his specialty.

To write this book has taken The Old Scientist thousands of hours over many years. For anyone to have hired The Old Scientist to do such a project would have cost over a million dollars. But to The Old Scientist it has been a labor of love, the purpose for which he came into this world.

By the time I was a couple of years into my retirement five U. S. Patents named me as the inventor. From there I went on to challenging the great minds of the centuries. I even challenged the ancient Hebrew lexicon—but that is getting too deep.

But there were two things I never had to challenge: The discoveries of scientific truth by modern science, and the record of scientific truth in the ancient scriptures of the bible. God knows I tried. I have over fifteen thousand hours of scientific research

invested in this book. Nowhere have I been able to find scientific truth of modern science that does not verify the scientific truth found in the bible.

That is not to say that religion is immune to the human tendency to make up stuff to make their God look good. And neither is science. But truth is truth no matter where you find it. I'm not talking about theoretical explanations, interpretations, opinions, and inventive clarifications, just the cold hard facts. The fact that humans cannot resist making up stuff to make their God look good is well illustrated in the traditional conflict between science and the bible.

My education left me with one undeniable conclusion:

And that is a pattern repeated over and over in the history of science and the bible. Well meaning religious people have the tendency to make up stuff to make their God look good. It is this tendency that guarantees that no religion can be scientifically correct if it is touched by humans. And the same thing can be said of scientists. They too are tempted to make up interpretations to make their theories look good. That is just the way humans are.

Anyway, that makes the basis for the scientific evidence that the bible is of supernatural origin. In the bible, over a hundred scientific facts concerning the origins are recorded before they were independently discovered by modern science. And they are recorded to have occurred in the exact order that modern science has independently discovered. No human-made religion could possibly have produced such a document. But remember, it is only the original version that is scientifically error free. The versions of the bible we read today have been touched by human minds. No translation from one language to another can be accurately made if the subject is scientific concepts that have never entered the mind of the human doing the translating. And much of the science in the bible was yet undiscovered when the translation was made.

But that worked out well to allow scientists to discover scientific truth without cheating by looking at the answer book. That makes modern science a credible, even though hostile, witness to the supernatural authorship of the bible.

In summary:

The postgraduate education of Frederick, after obtaining his Bachelors degree is extremely unique. He has achieved a level of expertise that is far beyond that of the average PhD. The road traveled to that level of education has been very unconventional compared to traditional academia. To describe it as self-educated does little justice to the long arduous process. His lifetime educational process is not diminishing as he is advancing into old age.

As a young man, Frederick disappeared into that institution known as the military industrial complex where his original research and publication far exceeded the requirement for the most advanced degree. However, no publication or recognition ever leaves that institution to become public. During that thirty-year tenure, Frederick mastered the pattern recognition art to the extent that he advanced the state of the art and gained the reputation of being one who could see information where others see only data.

Upon emerging from the cloak of security, after his significant contribution towards winning the cold war, his ingenuity resulted in three United States Patents that applied pattern recognition of radio transmissions to cellular telephone fraud prevention.

After retirement, Frederick mastered the art of obtaining a United States patent without an attorney. Frederick is the inventor named on five United States Patents.

After that chapter in his life, seven and a half years of full time effort were invested in original research in the Eyewitness to the Origins project. During that time Frederick organized the scientific facts concerning the origins that are mentioned in the bible and correlated them with the scientific facts concerning the origins as discovered by modern science. Frederick's original research in that project is beyond worthy of a PhD degree in three areas. Advances in the recognition, identification, and discovery of the origins of false information contained in traditional myths concerning the origins is far beyond any previously published. Frederick's organization of origin information from all of the origin accounts found in the ancient scripture of the bible into an internally consistent chronology goes far beyond any previous effort of any theologian PhD. The correlation of these facts from modern science with the information first published in the bible goes far beyond any previous such effort by any scientist's PhD thesis.

By the age of Seventy Years, Frederick had well earned the honorable title, AnOldScientist.

Where Am I Coming From?

After retirement, the insight previously gained in the field of information recognition was directly applicable to the study of science and the bible. You see, there is a lot of information recorded in the ancient scriptures of the bible that is completely foreign to theologians versed in theology and not in science. Many such passages of scripture, unfathomable to theologians, were rendered as senseless gobbledygook—I call it religious theobabble. Yet the meaning is abundantly clear to an information recognition scientist who is versed in the arena of ideas claimed by modern science.

Examples of this religious theobabble include such passages as the one about God walking on the wings of the wind. Once the context surrounding that verse, and the true meanings of the original words are compared, it becomes obvious the actual information content has been completely overlooked for centuries—more on that in a different article.

Many scholars believe the bible to be true, inerrant and infallible. I would state up front where I am coming from.. I, too, have come to the belief that, in the realm of the facts of science, the bible is the inspired word of God, inerrant and infallible. However, that inerrancy extends to the original texts, not to a translation.

A human cannot accurately translate into another language what that human does not understand. When something scientific is mentioned in the bible, it is generally not understood to be science because modern science discoveries that reveal the underlying science were made after the time of the translation process.

Truth is truth, no matter the source. Both the bible and modern science claim to be truth. For both to be true, they must both agree. Truth cannot contradict itself. Recent discovery of modern science is verifying the impossible knowledge of science that was pre-published in the bible thousands of years before the discovery of that same knowledge by modern science.

I am not talking about Evolution. Evolution is just the current expression of the world view that denies the possibility of a creator. In the big picture, the theory of evolution is small.

There are two major philosophical world views. One allows the possibility of a creator. The other denies that possibility, believing everything spontaneously generated itself.

Those who reject the consideration of the concept of a creator have always had their alternate explanation of how life “just happened” without any intelligent guidance. These explanations are called theories of spontaneous generation. Evolution is simply the latest of many spontaneous generation theories, replacing the former in 1859, and soon to be replaced by a future theory of spontaneous generation.

A debate between creation and evolution is not a debate between science and the bible. It is a debate between two major world views, philosophies, you might even call them religions.

One side interprets all evidence assuming no creator. The other worships the creator, but according to a human traditional interpretation of the bible. Whether it be the Young Earth tradition, or an Old Earth variation of the traditional interpretation, all are in error. None are in accord with reality. None are in complete accord with either the bible or the true discoveries of modern science.

Arguments in the evolution debate are not science versus bible. One side interprets science to defend the spontaneous generation worldview, to honor the creation and deny the possibility of a creator. The other defends traditional interpretation of the bible by reinterpreting science in the light of antique Greek science. Both operate with the zealotry of a religious fanatic. It is comparable to the zealotry of a sports fan(atic).

In reality, modern science has independently discovered a great quantity of true scientific knowledge about the origins. The miracle is, the ultimate author of the bible already had that knowledge. Knowledge of these scientific principles and chronology is revealed by the publication of casual mentions, thousands of years before modern science is credited with the discovery of those same scientific principles.

A study of science and the bible will reveal the fact that both agree on reality. There is no conflict between truth discovered by the scientific process and that corresponding truth first published in the bible.

Such a study of science and the bible will enable one to believe the bible because of science instead of the current requirement for one to believe the bible in spite of science.

The fact is, we have taught our young people that they must believe what *we say* the bible says, not what the bible really says. In their first year of college, science, not the bible is given credit for discovering the knowledge that was already pre-published in the bible, but subsequently distorted by theological interpretation, leading many to the loss of their Christian faith.

I do not dwell on the controversy of debate, rather on the confirmation of the ancient scripture by truth as discovered by modern science. Evolution does not deserve debate. Only 150 years old, it is but a transient theory. It will self destruct. The bible will endure.

Just as the previous theory did in 1859, when the theory of evolution finally does self destruct, it will only be replaced by another theory of how everything came into existence without a creator. Even now, the replacement theory is being formulated. It will not result in a massive conversion to the theory of intelligent design by a creator. Paul says that school of thought will persist.

Since the first exposure to science and the bible as a young man, it has been my quest to disprove any and all claims of interpretation to get to the simple truth of the essential bare facts.

During several years of meetings and discussions with small groups concerning science and the bible, a pattern has emerged. Most of what is presented as science and the bible is either stuff made up to make someone's God look good, or stuff made up to make someone else's God look bad. Whether it be by theologians or by scientists, human interpretation discredits the value of both the bible and modern science. A lot of fiction presented as valid has been exposed.

Therefore, I am subject to the danger of being burned at the stake by a coalition of both scientists and theologians.

3) Reprint Rights.

Permission is granted to use any of the articles in this e-zine in your own e-zine or web site, as long as you include the following blurb: "Retired Scientist, Theologian and Author, Max B. Frederick, AnOldScientist, publishes the FREE Science and the Bible E-zine, nearly every month. Visit <http://www.ScienceAndTheBible.net> for more articles like this."

4) Sign up for this E-zine.

The Science and the Bible E-Zine is emailed to subscribers. If you have not subscribed, someone might have thought you would be interested. Please feel free to forward it to others. But please be careful to send it only to those who may be interested. Also, if you have not personally done so, please sign up for future issues. Right now there is not an automated way to sign up. So for now, to sign up, and get future issues, you must put your name and email on the list by sending an email to

signup@anoldscientist.com. Be sure to put your name on the subject line.

This E-zine is free, you may take it and pass it on to others. However, this E-zine is copyright Max B. Frederick, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011. Therefore, with my permission I encourage you to email this E-zine to any friends of yours who might be interested in Science and the Bible. I only ask that you email the whole thing, not bits and pieces. Otherwise, you'll be getting desperate calls at midnight from your friends asking where they can get their own free subscription.

If you miss an issue, I plan to archive all back issues on my web site at:

<http://www.ScienceAndTheBible.net/ezine>

Max B. Frederick, Publisher, www.scienceandthebible.net © 2011