

Blason Creation Account

by

Max B. Frederick, AnOldScientist

Abstract:

The old English form of literature known as Blason is assumed to have originated with the French Poet, Clement Marot, early in the sixteenth century. Then it spread to into the Elizabethan English of Shakespeare where it evolved into its classic form.

The Blason in its classic form is a praise of one's beloved listing physical features in some physical order such as from the light shining on the top of a woman's hair down to the tip of her toes or even the ground she walked upon..

But is that the real origin?

Twenty-five hundred years earlier that literary form was extensively employed by King Solomon as he praised the physical features of his beloved women.

An even earlier usage, never mentioned in literary circles nor bible commentaries, is by Solomon's father. King David, the father of Solomon used that literary form to present an ordered list in praise of the physical features of his beloved God. Yes, the physical features of the origin of the universe, solar system, planet earth, seas, land, life, ecology, even on into the future. But, the order was not in space, but in time. Each item is mentioned in the order of it's first appearance in the sequence of the origins.

Psalm 104 is a creation account listing over fifty items of scientific importance in the sequence of events that brought all that we observe into existence and into the form we see it today. Yet, this has never before been recognized as a scientific list because it is not presented as science, only as a praise of God that includes casual mentions of each of these items in the exact order that modern science has independently verified.

Literary Form of Psalm 104.

The problem.

Most bible commentators and literary critics have commented that Psalm 104 is a simple Psalm of praise, illustrated by a few wonders of nature. In that Psalm, King David gives God the credit for creating each wonder of nature.

But it is not quite that simple.

Upon closer scrutiny it appears to be an ordered list. It becomes obvious that some of the items listed are obviously in the order that modern science has discovered that they came into existence.

A few items are obviously mentioned in the order in which they came into existence:

In verse 2 he mentions light. Light is one of the first things that came into existence with the big bang.

Then, later in verse 2 he mentions the expansion of the universe. That began with the big bang and continues to happen after the big bang.

In verse 4 he mentions the laying of the foundations of the earth. The “foundations of the earth” is that layer under the continents that holds them up. (“Earth” in bible generally means continents, not planet earth.)

In verse 6 that foundation becomes covered with ocean. There are no continents.

In verse 8 the mountains go up and the valleys go down

In verse 9 the continents remain above sea level.

In verses 10 through 28 ecology is established.

In verse 29 ecology dies. Modern science calls this a mass extinction.

In verse 30 life is renewed. Modern science calls this punctuated equilibrium.

These items are blatantly obvious. If we look closer, we can find many more items mentioned in the order that modern science has verified. But first, is this order real?

This raises some questions. If this order recorded in the bible is the same chronology that modern science has discovered, does that mean modern science is right? How could this section of scripture be written thousands of years ago, then modern science independently discover the same order unless they are both right? Does modern science verify this order recorded in the bible? Does that mean both are right, that this really is the order of creation? But what about the six days of creation in the seven-day creation account in the first chapter of Genesis? This account makes no mention of a six day schedule. And why are these items not in the same order as the traditional interpretation of that seven-day account.

In the traditional interpretation of the seven-day creation account the order is different:

On day one, the earth was created before there was light. Light was created after the earth.

Photosynthesis and ecology (green grass) were created on day three before the sun was created on day four.

There is no way this order can be the same as modern science has proposed. So we have been taught that modern science is wrong.

But then, if modern science is wrong, why is it the same as the order recorded in greater detail in Psalm 104?

Or is there a problem with the traditional interpretation of the seven-day creation account?

These are serious questions with great consequences. If science is right and it verifies the order taught elsewhere in the bible, then it is no wonder our Christian students lose their faith when they go to college and are challenged with the truth. They have been taught science that is false. And they have been taught that the bible they are supposed to believe teaches this false science.

The literary form.

Is Psalm 104 really a complex literary form that actually provides an ordered list of the wonders of nature? Is that list really ordered into the order of first appearance? Or is that order just an accident. One might argue, just as they do to discredit science, that God put it

there to confuse unbelievers, just like he put the fossils in the rocks of the earth to give the appearance of age just to confuse the scientists.

The first question that can be tested is: Was this originally intended to be an ordered list?

It has been observed that Psalm 104 appears to be an ordered list.

The Blason is a genre of poems that praise a beloved by listing parts of the anatomy, generally starting at the head and comparing each part in order of appearance moving down to the feet or even the ground walked upon. Typically each part was described in a metaphor and sometimes illustrated with examples of wonders of nature. Typically it began with a metaphor relating to the light of the sun.

Psalms 104 meets all the requirements of the Blason literary form in that it starts with the light of the sun and describes attributes of the beloved in order of appearance. The difference here is the order of appearance is not in stature, rather in chronology.

But there is a problem.

Some would argue that the literary form of ordered lists without the mention that it is an ordered list did not originate until about the sixteenth century AD when the literary form known as the “Blason” first became popular in Elizabethan English.

A little history:

The Blason is commonly assumed to have originated¹ with the French Poet, Clement Marot² whose works were first published in 1532. Marot’s works were erotic metaphoric descriptions of parts of the feminine anatomy. It is assumed that from that origin, the blason form of poetry quickly spread among French poets, then into the Elizabethan English of Shakespeare where it evolved into an ordered list as described above. A celebrated example of the blason that exploits the irony of reversal is Shakespeare’s Sonnet CXXX:

My Mistress' Eyes are Nothing like the Sun
*My mistress' eyes are nothing like the sun;
 Coral is far more red than her lips' red;
 If snow be white, why then her breasts are dun;
 If hairs be wires, black wires grow on her head.
 I have seen roses damasked, red and white,
 But no such roses see I in her cheeks,
 And in some perfumes is there more delight
 Than in the breath that from my mistress reeks.
 I love to hear her speak, yet well I know,
 That music hath a far more pleasing sound.
 I grant I never saw a goddess go;
 My mistress when she walks treads on the ground.*

¹ Blason, <http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Blason&oldid=228050321> (last visited Nov. 23, 2008).

² **Clément Marot** (23 November 1496 – 12 September 1544), was a French poet of the [Renaissance](#) period. Clément Marot, http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Cl%C3%A9ment_Marot&oldid=252554412 (last visited Dec. 8, 2008).

*And yet, by heaven, I think my love as rare
As any she belied with false compare.*

If that history of the origin of the blason literary form is correct, any connection of Psalm 104 with the blason literary form is separated by twenty-five hundred years. Since that literary form is assumed to not be in existence until twenty-five hundred years after that psalm was written it would seem to be doubtful that an ordered list starting with the light of the sun could be assumed from the literary form.

However, upon research, that assumed history is not the origin of the English blason literary form. The origin has a direct connection with Psalm 104. A much earlier example of that literary form is found:

How Beautiful You Are, My Darling!

(Translated from the original language.)

How beautiful you are, my darling!
Oh, how beautiful!
Your eyes behind your veil are doves.

Your hair is like a flock of goats
descending from Mount Gilead.

Your teeth are like a flock of sheep just shorn,
coming up from the washing.
Each has its twin;
not one of them is alone.

Your lips are like a scarlet ribbon;
your mouth is lovely.

Your temples behind your veil
are like the halves of a pomegranate.

Your neck is like the tower of David,
built with elegance;
on it hang a thousand shields,
all of them shields of warriors.

Your two breasts are like two fawns,
like twin fawns of a gazelle
that browse among the lilies.

Until the day breaks
and the shadows flee,

I will go to the mountain of myrrh
and to the hill of incense.

All beautiful you are, my darling;
there is no flaw in you.

And another example by the same author, this one employing reversal as Shakespeare:

How beautiful your sandaled feet

(Translated from the original language.)

How beautiful your sandaled feet,
O prince's daughter!

Your graceful legs are like jewels,
the work of a craftsman's hands.

Your navel is a rounded goblet
that never lacks blended wine.

Your waist is a mound of wheat
encircled by lilies.

Your breasts are like two fawns,
twins of a gazelle.

Your neck is like an ivory tower.
Your eyes are the pools of Heshbon
by the gate of Bath Rabbim.

Your nose is like the tower of Lebanon
looking toward Damascus.

Your head crowns you like Mount Carmel.
Your hair is like royal tapestry.

The king is held captive by its tresses.

This is no doubt the same literary form as the blason. But, where did this literary form really originate?

But who is the more ancient author?

None other than the son of the man who wrote Psalm 104.

Solomon, the son of King David, wrote these examples of the blason literary form are from twenty-five hundred years before that literary form supposedly originated. They tie the Psalm 104 directly to that literary form. There is therefore no doubt that this in fact an ordered list.

Since it is an ordered list, a deeper search into what else is in that list is in order.

A search of the original language of that ancient scripture reveals many more items that fit into that list. Many are obscured because the translators had no idea what was being mentioned since modern science had not yet discovered what it was talking about. Some have been discovered as recently as after the turn of the millennium. And they all are in the proper order as verified by modern science.

The total number of items that are mentioned, that are verified by modern science is on the order of fifty.

That sheds a different light on what the bible teaches concerning the origins.

The book, *Eyewitness to the origins*, goes into great detail describing what the bible actually teaches concerning the origins. The chronology from all the bible accounts of the origins are combined into one comprehensive chronology, and that chronology is being verified by the independent discovery of modern science.